

## Chamorro Umlaut

• [-back] spreads from certain prefixes/particles to root-initial vowels...

(1)	nána	'mother'	i n <mark>é</mark> na	'the mother'
	gúma?	'house'	i g <mark>i</mark> ma?	'the house'
	cúpa	'cigarettes'	i cípa	'the cigarettes'
	sóŋsuŋ	'village'	i s <mark>é</mark> ŋsuŋ	'the village'

• ... but only if the root-initial vowel is stressed (Chung 1983):

(2)	pulónnun	'trigger fish'	i pulónnun *i p <mark>i</mark> lónnun, *	'the trigger fish' 'i p <mark>ilé</mark> nnun
	mundóŋgu	'cow's stomach'	i mundóŋgu *i mindóŋgu,	'the cow's stomack *i mindéŋgu

• Cf. Central Venetan, where [+high] spreads leftward through intervening syllables to reach the stressed syllable (Walker 2008):

(3)	a.	No interv	ening syllables		
		kal-sé-to	'sock (masc. sg.)	'kal-s <mark>i</mark> -ti	'sock (masc. pl
		kant-é-se	'sing (1 pl.)'	kant- <mark>i</mark> -si-mo	'sing (1 pl. im
	b.	An interv	ening syllable		
		órdeno	'order $(1sg.)$ '	úrdini	'order $(2sg.)$ '

Why do unstressed vowels seem to block umlaut in Chamorro? • This property is predicted by Candidate Chains (OT-CC; McCarthy 2006, 2007), but

- OT-CC cannot account for both Chamorro and Central Venetan. • A standard OT account of umlaut that takes stress to be the *trigger*, not the *target*,
- is superior to an OT-CC anlaysis.

### References

Beckman, Jill N. (1999) Positional Faithfulness. New York: Garland.

Chung, Sandra (1983) Transderivational Relationships in Chamorro Phonology. Language 59: 35–66. McCarthy, John (2006) Restraint of Analysis. In Wondering at the Natural Fecundity of Things: Essays in Honor of Alan Prince, Eric Bakovic, Junko Ito, & John McCarthy, eds., 213–239, Santa Cruz, CA: Linguistics Research Center.

McCarthy, John (2007) Restraint of Analysis. In Freedom of Analysis, Sylvia Blaho, Patrik Bye, & Martin Krämer, eds., Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Steriade, Donca (1995) Underspecification and Markedness. In Handbook of Phonological Theory, John Goldsmith, ed., 114–174, Oxford: Blackwell.

Walker, Rachel (2005) Weak Triggers in Vowel Harmony. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 23: 917–989. Walker, Rachel (2008) Gradualness and Fell-Swoop Derivations. Handout from talk presented at the UCSC Alumni Conference, Sept. 13.

> Thanks to Junko Ito, Abby Kaplan, Anya Lunden, Armin Mester, and Jaye Padgett for their many helpful comments and questions.

# Chamorro Umlaut: An Argument Against Candidate Chains

Aaron Kaplan UCLA afkaplan@humnet.ucla.edu

AFLA 16, May 1–3, 2009

pl.)' npf. subj.)'

## OT-CC & Umlaut

• OT-CC: outputs are produced incrementally, with each step in the derivation evaluated by the constraint ranking. Two requirements:

**Gradualness:** Chains can only make one change at a time; each step adds just one violation of a "basic" faithfulness constraint.

• Chains for (1) and (2): ✓ <i gúma?, i gíma?> \*\*<i pulónnun, i pilénnun> ✓ <i pulónnun, i p<mark>i</mark>lónnun, i p<mark>ilé</mark>nnun>

Harmonic Improvement (HI): Only spreading in which each spreading step improves the candidate's violation profile is allowed.

- Attraction to stress (Walker 2005): umlaut may be driven by LICENSE(back):
- LICENSE(back): [back] features must be associated with the stressed syllable.
- <i gúma?, i gíma?> shows HI:

/i gúma?/	LICENSE(back)	Ident(back)
i gúma?	*!	
r≊ i gíma?		*

• But *<i* pulónnun, *i* pilónnun, *i* pilénnun> does not:

/i pulónnun/	LICENSE(back)	Ident(back)
🖙 i pulónnun	*	
i p <mark>i</mark> lónnun	*	*!

(Since both chains for /i pulónnun/  $\rightarrow *i pilénnun$  are blocked by Gradualness and HI, OT-CC correctly predicts that umlaut will occur only with root-initial stress.

 $\Rightarrow$  However, the same architecture precludes an analysis of Central Venetan. • Possible chains:

\*\*<órdeni, úrdini> ruled out by Gradualness. \*<órdeni, órdini, úrdini> ruled out by HI.

(Just one violation of IDENT(back)) (Two violations of IDENT in one step) (One violation of IDENT per step)

Using LICENSE(back), OT-CC can produce either Chamorro or Central Venetan, but not both.

### Classic OT: Stress as Trigger

- this be?
- Prefixes are weak (Steriade 1995, Beckman 1999).
- 1983).
- (5)to root segments.

/i gúma?/	LIC-Pretonic	Ident		/i pulónnun/	LIC-Pretonic	Ident
i gúma?	*!			🖙 i pulónnun		
r≊ i gíma?		*		i p <mark>i</mark> lónnun		*!
			-	i p <mark>ilé</mark> nnun		*!*

- $\Rightarrow$  Stress is the trigger of umlaut, not the target.
- any work.

### **Conclusion:** Despite appearances, Chamorro umlaut does not argue for OT-CC.

- tage over standard OT.
- for OT-CC.



• Walker (2008): Modify gradualness to allow multiple violations of one faithfulness constraint if the result improves markedness at one locus. • [+high] can spread to multiple vowels to eliminate a violation of LICENSE. • But now *<i pulónnun, i pilénnun>* is a possible chain for Chamorro!

• Suppose unlaut is only triggered by immediately pretonic prefixes. Why would

• Immediately pretonic syllables are weak in Chamorro: clash is tolerated, except that the syllable immediately before primary stress must be unstressed (Chung

 $\Rightarrow$  [-back] spreads from a doubly weak position to a stronger position, the root:

LICENSE-Pretonic: Immediately pretonic [-back] features must be linked

• This constraint motivates umlaut in just the right places:

• Central Venetan can still be produced with LICENSE(back).

• These analyses are available to OT-CC, but then candidate chains aren't doing

• Standard OT accounts for umlaut. No appeal to HI is necessary.

• Without LICENSE-Pretonic, OT-CC can produce either Chamorro or Central Venetan, but not both. With LICENSE-Pretonic, OT-CC provides no advan-

 $\Rightarrow$  OT-CC is not necessary to produce (the appearance of) HI. Perhaps other cases that seem to require OT-CC can be analyzed in standard OT with constraints like LICENSE-Pretonic. This result would undermine an important argument